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Abstract

Understanding dynamic behavior of steel has gathered the efforts of many researchers during the last decades. Steel AISI 304 is the most widely used stainless steel. It has excellent forming and welding characteristics, extensively used in a variety of industries. Numerical modeling is often used in experimental investigations as full scale tests are expensive and may be impossible to conduct correctly. This is especially true for high and wide range of strain-rate events such as dynamic tensile. In this work the damage viscoplastic behavior of steel AISI 304 will be microscopically modeled using the Abed-voyadjis model (physic model based on the thermal activation of dislocation) combined with the BONORA and BAI (lode angle criterion) damage criterion. These models will be implemented in Abaqus / Explicit, we used the VUMAT (Vector zed User MATeriel) user subroutine and radial return mapping algorithm to solve incremental problems. Finally, we realize numerical simulations in Abaqus/Explicit for different rate strain on the tensile specimens (flat), and we compare numerical results obtained by our model with the experimental results.
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1. Introduction

Modern engineering technologies have placed increasing demands for constitutive modeling and the knowledge of material parameters at high strain rates, for this reason many computer codes exist which can be used to perform computations of complex structures under dynamic loading conditions like intense impulsive loading due to high-velocity impact (for example: Abaqus). The main requirement of large deformation problems such as life prediction; impact, and metal forming, is to develop constitutive relations which are widely applicable and capable of accounting for complex paths of deformation. Steel AISIS 304 are the most versatile and widely used of all the stainless steels. Their chemical composition, mechanical properties, weld ability and
corrosion/oxidation resistance provides the best all-round performance at relatively lower cost.

In this paper the damage viscoplastic behavior of steel AISI 304 will be microscopically modeled using the Abed-Voyadjis model, based on the thermal activation of dislocation, combined with damage criterion: the BONORA model and BAI model (based on the lode angle criterion). These models will be implemented in Abaqus / Explicit [1], we used the VUMAT (Vector zed User MATeriel) user subroutine [2] and radial return mapping algorithm [3] to solve incremental problems. Finally, we realize numerical simulations in Abaqus/Explicit for different rate strain on the tensile specimens (flat), and we compare numerical results obtained by our model with the experimental results.

2. Modélisation numérique

2.1. Abed-Voyadjis model

The Abed-Voyadjis model was proposed by Abed and Voyadjis [4-6] based on the thermal activation theory of dislocations. Elias Farah [7] proposed a model inspired micromechanical mechanisms but defined by phenomenological parameters identified from the behavior macroscopique. La flow stress is given by the following expression:

\[ \sigma = \sigma_0 + K (\overline{\varepsilon}^p)^\frac{1}{q_1} \left(1 - A (\overline{\varepsilon}^p)^\frac{1}{q_2} - BT \ln \left( \frac{\overline{\varepsilon}^p}{\overline{\varepsilon}_0^p} \right) \right)^\frac{1}{q_2} \]

(1)

with \( A, B, K, q_1 \) and \( q_2 \) represent material parameters.

\( \dot{\overline{\varepsilon}}^p \): The reference plastic strain rate is usually taken equal \( 10^{-6} \) s\(^{-1}\) [8];

\( \overline{\varepsilon}^p \): The equivalent plastic strain.

\[ \overline{\varepsilon}^p = \frac{1}{q} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon^p : \varepsilon^p} \, dt \]

(2)

With \( \dot{\varepsilon}^p \) is the tensor of the plastic deformation rate and \( t \) is the time.

\( \overline{\varepsilon}^p \): Equivalent plastic strain rate.

\[ \overline{\varepsilon}^p = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \varepsilon^p : \varepsilon^p} \]

(3)

\( \sigma_0 \): The yield stress of the material.

\( \varepsilon_0 \): The equivalent plastic strain rate.

2.2. Damage criterion

The law of damage evolution is an integral part of a report by linear increase is assumed between the indicator \( D \) damage and stress equivalent plastic.

\[ D = \frac{\overline{\varepsilon}^p}{\varepsilon_f} \]

(4)

Bonora and al. [9-10] gives the damage variable by the following expression:

\[ \dot{D} = \alpha \frac{(D_\infty - D)^\frac{1}{4}}{\ln \left( \frac{\varepsilon_i}{\varepsilon_f} \right)} f(\eta) (D_\infty - D)^{\frac{1}{4}} \overline{\varepsilon}^p \]

(5)

With \( D_\infty \) is the critical value of damage beyond which there is rupture, \( D_0 \) is the initial damage, \( \alpha \) is a material parameter, \( \varepsilon_i \) is plastic deformation of rupture, \( \varepsilon_d \) is plastic deformation below which the damage remains zero. The effect of stress triaxiality is given by the function \( f(\eta) \)

\[ f(\eta) = \frac{2}{3}(1 + \nu) + 3(1 - 2\nu)(\eta) \]

(6)

where \( \nu \) is the Poisson coefficient of the material.

The plastic strain at rupture is considered as constant, which can be represented a limitation to this model. That is why we used the strain at rupture proposed by Bai and al. [11]

\[ \varepsilon_i(\eta, \overline{\varepsilon}) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} (D_\infty e^{-\alpha_0} + D_\infty e^{-\beta_0}) - D_\infty e^{-\alpha_0} \right] \overline{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} (D_\infty e^{-\beta_0} + D_\infty e^{-\alpha_0}) \overline{\varepsilon} + D_\infty e^{-\alpha_0} \]

(7)

with \( \eta \) the triaxialité expressed by:
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\[ \eta = \frac{\sigma_n}{\bar{\sigma}} \quad (8) \]

\( \theta \): is lode angle given between 0 and \( \frac{\pi}{3} \) \( (0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{3}) \)

\[ \zeta: \text{ is normalized third deviatoric stress invariant,} \quad -1 \leq \zeta \leq 1 \quad [12-13] \]

\[ \zeta = \cos(3\theta) \quad (9) \]

\( \tilde{\theta} : \text{ is the lode angle equivalent give through} \]

\[ \tilde{\theta} = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi} \arccos(\zeta) \quad (10) \]

So the equation becomes:

\[ D = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon(\eta,\tilde{\theta})} \quad (11) \]

3. Implementation

The model described above was implemented in the Abaqus/explicit using user subroutine VUMAT (Vectorized user materiel) and exploiting the radial Return Mapping Algorithm. The yield function denoted \( f \) given by

\[ f(\sigma, p, \bar{\sigma}, T) = \sigma^{\text{eq}} - \sigma \quad (12) \]

Where \( \sigma^{\text{eq}} \) represent the von Mises stress. For any stress we have three possible cases:

- If \( f(\sigma, p, \bar{\sigma}, T) < 0 \) elastic;

- If \( f(\sigma, p, \bar{\sigma}, T) = 0 \) et \( \dot{\bar{\sigma}} = 0 \) inelastic load. The plastic multiplier is calculated from the consistency conditions \( \dot{\bar{\sigma}} = 0 \).

- If \( f(\sigma, p, \bar{\sigma}, T) = 0 \) and \( \dot{\bar{\sigma}} < 0 \) unloading.

For each increment of time \( \Delta t \) and strain \( \Delta \varepsilon \) known, we assume that the initial variable states are known. The steps followed during implementation are:

- After strain increment \( \Delta \varepsilon \), if the value of the damage variable \( D \) exceeds a critical value \( D_{cr} = 1 \) we have:

\[ \sigma_{n+1} = 0 \quad (13) \]

The other state variables do not change:

\[ \varepsilon_{n+1}^p = \varepsilon_n^p \quad (14) \]

\[ \bar{\varepsilon}_{n+1}^p = \bar{\varepsilon}_n^p \quad (15) \]

\[ T_{n+1} = T_n \quad (16) \]

\[ R_{n+1} = R_n \quad (17) \]

\[ \lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n \quad (18) \]

\[ D_{n+1} = D_n \quad (19) \]

The indices \( n \) and \( n + 1 \) are related to the beginning and the end of the increment respectively. Else we go to the next step: the elastic prediction and calculates the stress trial.

- In this step we assume that the strain increment is elastic:

\[ \Delta \varepsilon = \Delta \varepsilon^e \quad (20) \]

The stress trial is expressed by:

\[ \sigma_{n+1}^* = \sigma_n^e + (1 - D)E : \Delta \varepsilon^e \quad (21) \]

\( E \) is the tensor of elastic module. This stress is evaluated elastically. We will check in the next step that is still in the elastic loading.

- Calculation of the yield function for the value of the stress trial \( \sigma_{n+1}^* \):

\[ f = \sigma_{n+1}^{\text{eq}} - \sigma \quad (22) \]
With $\sigma^{eq}$ is the equivalent stress of Von Mises calculated from the stress trial.

$$\sigma^{eq} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \sigma_n^*: \sigma_n^*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

\[ \bullet \] if $f \leq 0$ the material will always remain in the elastic loading, so the value of the stress trial $\sigma_{n+1}^*$ is acceptable.

$$\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_{n+1}^*$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

The state variables remain unchangeable:

$$\varepsilon^p_{n+1} = \varepsilon^p_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

$$\varepsilon^p_{n+1} = \varepsilon^p_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

$$T_{n+1} = T_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

$$R_{n+1} = R_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

$$\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

with

$$\varepsilon^p : \text{The tensor plastic strain;} \hspace{1cm} R_{n+1} = R_n + \Delta R$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

$$\lambda : \text{The plastic multiplier;} \hspace{1cm} D_{n+1} = D_n + \Delta D$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

$$T: \text{Temperature.}$$

We will return to step 1 for next increment.

\[ \bullet \] If $f > 0$ the material undergoes a viscoplastic strain, the stress trial should be corrected.

$$\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_{n+1}^* - 2\mu N_{n+1}\Delta \lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

With $\mu$ is Lamé coefficient.

$$\mu = \frac{E}{2(1+\nu)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

The hypothesis of the plastic incompressibility and isotropic hardening requires the evolution of the stress is radial and tensors normal to the surface are equal.

$$N_{n+1} = N_{n+1}^* = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sigma_{n+1}^*}{\sigma_{n+1}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

The equation of the normal N of the load surface is readily assessed since the only unknown in the relationship (30) is the increment of plastic multiplier $\Delta \lambda$.

Other constitutive equations are also in terms of $\Delta \lambda$.

$$\sigma_{n+1}^{eq} = \sigma_{n+1}^{eq} - 2\mu \Delta \lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

$$\varepsilon_{n+1}^p = \varepsilon_{n}^p + N_{n+1}\Delta \lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

$$\varepsilon_{n+1}^p = \varepsilon_{n}^p + \Delta \lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

$$T_{n+1} = T_n + \Delta T$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

$$R_{n+1} = R_n + \Delta R$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

$$D_{n+1} = D_n + \Delta D$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

where

$$\Delta T = \frac{1}{\rho \kappa_{p}} (\sigma_{n+1}^{eq} - R_{n+1}) \Delta \lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

$$\Delta R = \frac{K}{M} \chi_{n+1} (\varepsilon_{n+1}^p)^{(1-M)^3} \Delta \lambda$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)
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\[ \Delta D = \alpha \left( \frac{D_v - D_b}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 f \left( \sigma \right) (D_v - D_b) \frac{\alpha}{\varepsilon} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \]  

(41)

\[ \chi_{n+1} = \left[ 1 - \left\{ A \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{pl}}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 T_{n+1} - BT_{n+1} \ln \left( \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\varepsilon_{pl} \Delta t} \right) \right\} \right]^{1/2} \]  

(42)

- The relations (33) to (38) are replaced in yield function \( f \).

\[ f(\Delta \lambda) = \sigma_{n+1}^m - 3 \mu \Delta \lambda - \sigma \]  

(43)

Such as

\[ \sigma = \sigma_{pl} + K \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{pl}}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \left[ 1 - \left\{ A \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{pl}}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 T_{n+1} - BT_{n+1} \ln \left( \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\varepsilon_{pl} \Delta t} \right) \right\} \right]^{1/2} \]  

(44)

- This step is to solve the equation (26) by the method of Newton-Raphson.

\[ \Delta \lambda_{n+1} = \Delta \lambda' \frac{f(\Delta \lambda')}{f'(\Delta \lambda')} \]  

(45)

where

\[ f' (\Delta \lambda') = -3 \mu \Delta \lambda + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda} \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial \lambda} + \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial p} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} \]  

(46)

- Once the value of \( \Delta \lambda \) is determined, the state variables are known. For a new increment of strain \( \Delta \varepsilon \) and time \( \Delta t \), the resulting state variables are considered initials and we return to the first step in identifying the new values of the state variables at the end of each increment.

4. Simulation numeric

4.1 Material studied and specimen

Steel AISI 304 is the most widely used stainless steel. It has excellent forming and welding characteristics: High ductility, excellent drawing, forming, and spinning properties. Essentially non-magnetic, becomes slightly magnetic when cold worked. Low carbon content means less carbide precipitation in the heat-affected zone during welding and a lower susceptibility to intergranular corrosion. Extensively used in a variety of industries, its typical applications include: pipelines, heat exchanger railings, springs or threaded fasteners marine equipment and fasteners, nuclear vessels, refrigeration equipment, paper industry, pressure vessels and valves.

These experimental studies were carried out by Rusinek and al. [14]. The tables below represent Chemical composition and Physical constants of steel AISI 304.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Nb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>18.47</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E(GPa)</th>
<th>C_p(JKgK^{-1})</th>
<th>( \nu )</th>
<th>( \rho(Kgm^{-3}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>7800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the figure below shows the geometry and dimensions of the tensile specimen.

![Figure 1. Geometry and dimensions of the tensile specimen in mm.[16]](image)

4.2 Description of the conditions of the simulation

Consider volume element \((1x1x1)\). We imposed a longitudinal locking movement of the nodes in the axis Y, and a strain rate imposed on the axis X to the nodes of the specimen.
4.3 Result and discussion

In this section we apply the model described above in order to simulate the behavior of a specimen under tensile loading at different strain rate ($\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$).

The tables 3, 4 and 5 represent the material constants of the models Abed-Voyadjis, Bonora and Bai respectively.

Table 3. Material constants of the Abed-Voyadjis model for steel AISI 304.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A ($K^{-1}$)</th>
<th>B ($K^{-1}$)</th>
<th>K (MPa)</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>$q_1$</th>
<th>$q_2$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{i0}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000049</td>
<td>0.00156</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>$10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Material constants of the Bonora damage model for steel AISI 304

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$D_1$</th>
<th>$D_2$</th>
<th>$D_3$</th>
<th>$D_4$</th>
<th>$D_5$</th>
<th>$D_6$</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate respectively the deformation of specimen and stress/strain curve for numerical and experimental results at strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and temperature $T=298K$.

Figure 3. Deformation of volume element for strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and temperature $T=298K$.

Figure 4. Stress/strain Curve for numerical and experimental results at strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and temperature $T=298K$.

Figures 5 and 6 present respectively the deformation of specimen and stress/strain curve for numerical and experimental results at strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.002 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and temperature $T=293K$.

Table 5. Material constants of Bai and al. damage model for steel AISI 304.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$D_1$</th>
<th>$D_2$</th>
<th>$D_3$</th>
<th>$D_4$</th>
<th>$D_5$</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 5. Deformation of volume element for strain rate 
\( \dot{\varepsilon} = 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and temperature \( T = 293 \text{K} \).

\( \dot{\varepsilon} = 0.002 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and \( T = 293 \text{K} \)

Figure 6. Stress/strain Curve numerical and experimental for strain rate \( \dot{\varepsilon} = 0.002 \text{ s}^{-1} \) and \( T = 293 \text{K} \).

Figure 4 and 6 evoke the comparison between the results numerical obtained by Abed-Voyadjis combined with Bonora and Bai damage model. These models predicted successfully the behavior of the steel AISI 304 studied during the dynamic tensile test (\( \dot{\varepsilon} = 0.01 \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( \dot{\varepsilon} = 0.002 \text{s}^{-1} \)), for good coherence between the numerical and experimental results.

In prospects, we envisage an extension of this model to take into account kinematic hardening and anisotropy, this for a more precise and complete modeling.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we were interested the modeling of the damage viscoplastic behavior of steel AISI 304 with an approach physical Abed-Voyadjis combined with Bonora and Bai damage model. These models predicted successfully the behavior of the steel AISI 304 studied during the dynamic tensile test (\( \dot{\varepsilon} = 0.01 \text{s}^{-1} \) and \( \dot{\varepsilon} = 0.002 \text{s}^{-1} \)), for good coherence between the numerical and experimental results.
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